






## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Emerging electron microscopy and 3D methodologies to interrogate *Staphylococcus aureus* osteomyelitis in murine models

Karen L. de Mesy Bentley<sup>1,2,3</sup> | Chad A. Galloway<sup>2</sup> |  
Gowrishankar Muthukrishnan<sup>1,3</sup>  | Scott R. Echternacht<sup>4</sup>  | Elysia A. Masters<sup>1,5</sup> |  
Stephan Zeiter<sup>6</sup>  | Edward M. Schwarz<sup>1,3,5</sup>  | Jonathan I. Leckenby<sup>1,4</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Center for Musculoskeletal Research, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York, USA

<sup>4</sup>Division of Plastic Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York, USA

<sup>6</sup>AO Research Institute, Davos, Switzerland

## Correspondence

Jonathan I. Leckenby, Division of Plastic Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of Rochester Medical Center, 601 Elmwood Avenue, Box 661, Rochester, NY 14642, USA.  
Email: [Jonathan\\_Leckenby@URMC.Rochester.edu](mailto:Jonathan_Leckenby@URMC.Rochester.edu)

Karen L. de Mesy Bentley, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York, USA  
Email: [Karen\\_Bentley@URMC.Rochester.edu](mailto:Karen_Bentley@URMC.Rochester.edu)

## Funding information

National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases, Grant/Award Numbers: P30 AR069655, P50 AR72000; AO Foundation, Grant/Award Number: AO Trauma: Clinical Priority Program

## Abstract

Recent breakthroughs in our understanding of orthopaedic infections have come from advances in transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging of murine models of bone infection, most notably *Staphylococcus aureus* invasion and colonization of osteocyte-lacuno canalicular networks of live cortical bone during the establishment of chronic osteomyelitis. To further elucidate this microbial pathogenesis and evaluate the mechanism of action of novel interventions, additional advances in TEM imaging are needed. Here we present detailed protocols for fixation, decalcification, and epoxy embedment of bone tissue for standard TEM imaging studies, as well as the application of immunoelectron microscopy to confirm *S. aureus* occupation within sub-micron canaliculi. We also describe the first application of the novel Automated-Tape-UltraMicrotome system with three-dimensional reconstruction and volumetric analyses to quantify *S. aureus* occupation within the osteocyte-lacuno canalicular networks. Reconstruction of the three-dimensional volume broadened our perspective of *S. aureus* colonization of the canalicular network and, surprisingly, revealed adjacent noninfected canaliculi. This observation has led us to hypothesize that viable osteocytes of the osteocyte-lacuno canalicular networks respond and resist infection, opening future research directions to explain the paradox of adjacent uninfected canaliculi and life-long deep bone infection in patients with chronic osteomyelitis.

## KEYWORDS

automated-tape-ultramicrotome (ATUMtome), methods, osteomyelitis, paraffin, transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Although transmission electron microscopy (TEM) has been critical for elucidating microbial pathogenesis of human disease,<sup>1</sup> its application in bone infection research has been very limited due to the great technical challenges in generating high quality images, and the painstaking labor of mining large segments of tissue to find the bacteria at greater than  $\times 1000$  magnification. Further, bone tissue is inherently difficult to prepare for TEM studies, but with proper fixation, optimization of decalcification techniques, and a slight modification to processing of bone into epoxy embedding media, excellent imaging results can be obtained. Recently, we developed methods to overcome these challenges using TEM, demonstrating *Staphylococcus aureus* invasion and colonization of the osteocyte-lacuno-canalicular-network (OLCN) in live cortical bone during chronic osteomyelitis.<sup>2,3</sup> This discovery has provided insights into how *S. aureus* remains in a proliferative phase (not dormant) in bone, and continues to divide as elongated “rod shaped” bacteria to accommodate submicron spaces of the OLCN.<sup>2,4</sup> Thus, *S. aureus* appears to be “motile” via asymmetric binary fission at the leading edge of the colony, which initiates entry into exposed canaliculi and propagation throughout the OLCN via haptotaxis and durotaxis.<sup>2,5,6</sup> Furthermore, we propose that bacterial secretion of lactic acid and proteases leads to demineralization of the bone and organic matrix digestion respectively, serving as an inexhaustible nutrient source supporting the proliferative phase beyond the life of the host.<sup>6,7</sup> To formally test these hypotheses, ultrastructural 3D interrogation of the tissue volume is required to permit a greater appreciation of the OLCN, a quantitative assessment of the OLCN bacterial load, and open up the potential inquiry into why some canaliculi appear to remain resistant to infection.

To achieve this goal, methodologies developed for serial section electron microscopy (SSEM) were employed. SSEM involves a continuous collection of ultra-thin sections onto a reel of Kapton tape using an automated tape-collecting ultramicrotome (ATUMtome; Boeckeler Instruments). Each section is imaged in sequential order using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) fitted with a backscatter electron (BSE) detector to produce a “stack” of acquired images that can be analyzed to examine the cellular ultrastructure. Historically, the resolution capabilities of images collected with BSE detection were inferior to TEM, however, this has been improved and images can now be captured at a 4 nm pixel size making it virtually indistinguishable from TEM. In published datasets,<sup>8-10</sup> 25-nm thin sections are cut and imaged at a pixel size of 20–30 nm to produce a stack of more than 33,000 images to reconstruct 1 mm<sup>3</sup> of cortical tissue. While SSEM has mostly been confined to the central nervous system,<sup>11,12</sup> recent studies have modified the methodology to the regenerating peripheral nervous system<sup>13</sup> with a view to broadening its application and determine whether the SSEM methodology could be further modified and applied the skeletal system to examine the OLCN system.

Using these techniques it is hoped that future studies will answer several long-standing questions about osteomyelitis, including:

why *S. aureus* infection of bone is incurable?, and why the efficacy of antibiotic-loaded bone cement is limited?<sup>6,14,15</sup> It also begs future TEM studies on polymicrobial infections, and on the host response that must exist to allow for life-long bone infection following pediatric osteomyelitis.<sup>14</sup> This paper presents protocols for standard high contrast TEM preparation of infected mouse bone specimens and introduces methods to process paraffin embedded infected mouse bone for more rapid interrogation of bacterial localization, ultrastructural and 3D studies. Finally, the process of SSEM is introduced, and how it can be applied to interrogate the OLCN in osteomyelitis.

## 2 | METHODS


The methods of this report, given its technical nature, aim to supply the reader with justification and/or explanation of the methodologies, as well as, “step-by-step” protocols described within a section. Where applicable, these detailed protocols are supplied immediately following the rationale behind the method.

### 2.1 | Archival murine tibia tissue

EM studies were performed on archived histology blocks of *S. aureus* infected tibiae from previous studies in which mice were infected with a transtibial stainless-steel pin contaminated with approximately  $10^5$  colony forming units of UAMS-1 or USA300, and the tibiae were harvested on Day 14, as previously described.<sup>15</sup> The tibiae histology blocks used to generate the data in Figures 1, 4, 6, and 7A were previously published,<sup>2</sup> and the tibiae histology blocks used to generate the data in Figures 3, 5, and 7B are from an unpublished study performed on institutional animal care and use committee protocols approved by the ethical committee of the canton of Grisons in Switzerland.

### 2.2 | Fixation and decalcification

In our mouse tibia implant model of *S. aureus* osteomyelitis<sup>15,16</sup> we have routinely used immersion fixation with excellent preservation of the ultrastructural integrity of both soft and hard tissue compartments. This fixation method works well, as the integrity of the tibia cortical bone wall is compromised during implant insertion, thus after sacrifice a combination fixative made of buffered 2.5% glutaraldehyde (GA) in 4.0% paraformaldehyde (PFA) diffuses readily into the bone tissue over a period of 24 h. Specifically, PFA penetrates quickly to stabilize bone and soft tissue, followed by permanent cross linking with slower penetrating GA. After fixation we have ascertained the most suitable decalcification method is with Webb Jee buffer, a buffered 14% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) solution, followed by implant removal. To produce routine High Contrast-TEM (HC-TEM)



**FIGURE 1** Rapid identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm within infected mouse tibia sections via paraffin embedded bone special stains. Identifying *S. aureus* in an infected bone sample is a “needle in a haystack” endeavor when interrogating traditional 1.0 µm epoxy embedded bone sections. To facilitate this, we utilize Gram and proteoglycan histochemical stains to rapidly identify infected regions of interest. (A) Demineralized paraffin embedded whole mouse tibiae sectioned at 5 µm onto slides and stained with Brown & Brenn (black arrows) identifies biofilm presence. Note: This area of the paraffin block is cut out, deparaffinized and reembedded into epoxy resin for 3D ATUMtome serial sectioning while allowing substantially more area of tissue to be examined while initially screening. (B) *S. aureus* bacteria stained purple (red arrows) within the yellow counter stained bone. (C) Traditional epoxy block of a trimmed tibial bone fragment which has been sectioned at 1.0 µm onto the glass slide and Toluidine blue stained. (D) Light microscopy image of the above 1.0 µm section (in C) stained with Toluidine blue highlights the dark blue stained *S. aureus* bacteria (red arrows). ATUMtome, automated tape-collecting ultramicrotome [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

images, the bone must be trimmed down to the implant site for postfixation of lipids and lipoproteins by osmium tetroxide where it reduces, blackening the tissue, as it is deposited as metallic osmium. However, no osmium is used for tibias destined for bacterial species identification of either mono- or polymicrobial infected mouse bone as preservation of antigenicity for immunoelectron microscopy (IEM) is best using 4.0% PFA and not more than 8 h of fixation, before decalcification.

### 2.2.1 | Fixative preparation

- Heat 400 ml of distilled H<sub>2</sub>O to approximately 60°C.
- Add 40 g E.M. grade PFA (Cat: #19210; Electron Microscopy Sciences) to distilled H<sub>2</sub>O at 60°C and bring the volume up to 500 ml.
- Add 10N sodium hydroxide solution dropwise until the PFA solution clears. Cool to room temperature.
- Add 500 ml of 0.2M sodium cacodylate buffer (EMS Cat: #11653), mix and pH to 7.4. A 1:1 dilution with the 8% PFA.
- Add GA to 2.5%. A 50% concentrate of GA (EMS Cat: #16310) is preferred to reduce the volume added.

### 2.2.2 | Decalcification with Webb Jee buffer (14% EDTA pH 7.4)

- Twenty-four-hour postfixation (8–12 h for bone destined for immunolabeling) bone sample(s) are decalcified in labeled tissue cassette(s).
- Wash samples, 3 × 5 min with 1X phosphate buffered saline (PBS).
- Webb Jee buffer is changed daily for 7 days. Agitate buffer using a stir bar or by placing the cassettes into a large beaker onto a rocker platform.

Note: Samples should be stripped of most soft tissue and the volume should be 50 times the volume of the specimen, minimally.




### 2.2.3 | Postfixation

- Prepare a 1.0% osmium tetroxide solution in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer
- Incubate at room temperature on a shaker with gentle agitation for 60 min
- Wash 2 × 10 min in dH<sub>2</sub>O


## Ultramicrotome &amp; HC-TEM imaging




## ATUMtome/Serial Sectioning &amp; SEM Imaging



**FIGURE 2** Comparing the workflow of traditional sectioning and HC-TEM imaging with serial sectioning and SEM imaging. In traditional HC-TEM only a single grid can be loaded at a time for image collection as opposed to more than 100 sections placed onto a 4 inch silicon wafer for imaging using a SEM. Left: Standard ultrathin sectioning for routine TEM requires single sections be placed onto individual slot grids. Note: A traditional ultramicrotome and diamond knife setup produces individual floating ultrathin sections on the surface of the water in the diamond knife reservoir. Right: The ATUMtome setup for collection of large numbers of serial sections. Note: The ATUMtome system feeds Kapton tape into the water reservoir of the diamond knife, directly adjacent to the diamond knife edge, loading ultrathin 50–60 nm sections sequentially onto the Kapton tape (Figure S2S: A video demonstrating the process of sections being collected onto the ATUMtome). ATUMtome, automated tape-collecting ultramicrotome; HC-TEM, High Contrast-TEM; SEM, scanning electron microscope [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]



**FIGURE 3** Locating and defining the region of interest within the serial sections. (A) Following collection on Kapton tape, the serial sections are secured onto a four inch wafer with double sided carbon tape. Up to approximately 150 sections can be mounted on a single wafer. The red rectangle surrounds one individual section, seen as a small black rectangle. (B) A low resolution image captured from the camera mounted within the SEM chamber identifying an entire section (black dotted square) mounted on Kapton tape, this step is crucial for mapping the sections on the wafer to permit automated image acquisition. Within each section a corresponding large region of interest is defined on each section mounted on the wafer at a resolution of 75 nm/pixel (red square). (C) Defining the specific region of interest to be imaged. A high resolution scan (5 nm/pixel) is completed on all sections to interrogate the specific region of interest (red square). (D) The resulting SEM high resolution image demonstrating *S. aureus* infiltration of the OLCN. The image is 8594 × 9057 pixels (approximately 40 × 45 μm area). ATUMtome, automated tape-collecting ultramicrotome; HC-TEM, High Contrast-TEM; OLCN, osteocyte-lacuno-canalicular-network; SEM, scanning electron microscope [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]



**FIGURE 4** HC-TEM image examples of *S. aureus* utilizing the OLCN in mouse bone. (A) Expanded canaliculus with *S. aureus* biofilm (blue bracket) branches off into adjacent submicron-sized canaliculi of the OLCN (red arrows)  $\times 4000$ . (B) Another digital electron micrograph displaying *S. aureus* biofilm invasion (blue bracket) into bone adjacent to the bone marrow compartment (BMC)  $\times 2000$ . (C) During host response to the infection, neutrophils (green arrows) fill the bone marrow space and kill bone lining cells exposing canaliculi. Note neutrophils within the bone tissue attempt to track the biofilm into bone, but fail as *S. aureus* evades detection by invading the OLCN (red arrow)  $\times 4000$ . (D) Two adjacent osteocytic lacunae (yellow asterisks) are occupied by *S. aureus* bacteria invasion via the OLCN. Note the scalloped wall of an expanded canaliculus (lower right)  $\times 7000$ . ATUMtome, automated tape-collecting ultramicrotome; HC-TEM, High Contrast-TEM; OLCN, osteocyte-lacuno-canalicular-network [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

### 2.3 | Resin embedment of bone

Subsequent choice of an embedding resin optimizes the intended ultrastructural result. For example, EPON/Araldite epoxy resin is routinely used to produce HC-TEM ultrastructural images and for serial sectioning 3D volume reconstruction. LR White acrylic resin is ideal for IEM labeling experiments, as it is a hydrophilic resin. However, either resin type requires preliminary  $1\ \mu\text{m}$  sectioning to locate bacteria invasion into the OLCN by light microscopy. Both types of resin can be ultrathin sectioned onto and contrast enhanced with heavy metal staining. The processing into either resin requires preliminary dehydration and infiltration steps, although the two processes vary slightly, details are outlined below. In the case of EPON/araldite resin, the ratio of individual components can be altered to optimize the properties of the resin.

#### 2.3.1 | EPON/Araldite processing


- Dehydrate stepwise in a graded series of ethanol (50%, 65%, 80%, 95%, 100%) in 30 min steps on a rocking platform. Repeat the 100% ethanol incubation for a total of three changes.
- Transition to propylene oxide (PO; EMS Cat: #20401) for infiltration. Incubate in 1:1 100% ethanol: PO 30 min, then 100% PO twice for 30 min.

- Mix EPON/Araldite resin components at the following ratio; Embed 812 (EMS Cat: #14900) 14.7 g, Araldite 502 (EMS Cat: #10900) 9.0 g, DDSA (EMS Cat: #13710) 30.2 g and stir vigorously. Last, add 1 ml accelerator DMP-30 (EMS Cat: #13600) and stir to ensure thorough mixing.
- Infiltrate in 1:1, PO: EPON/araldite resin a minimum of 2.5 h and as long as overnight.
- Transfer to 100% EPON/araldite resin for 12–24 h with the lid off the processing vials. Before embedment place in fresh EPON/araldite resin for a minimum of 2 h.
- Polymerize tissue in EPON/araldite at  $60\text{--}65^\circ\text{C}$  for 48 h.

#### 2.3.2 | LR White processing

Note: Processing for LR White embedment proceeds without osmication.

- Wash tissue three times in base buffer following removal from fixative for 15 min each.
- Dehydrate stepwise in a graded series of ethanol (50%, 65%, 80%, 95%, 100%) in 20 min steps on a rocking platform.
- Infiltrate in 1:1, 100% ethanol:LR White resin (EMS Cat: #14381) for 90 min and then into 1:2 100% ethanol:LR White resin for a minimum of 60 min.



**FIGURE 5** Epoxy reembedded paraffin bone TEM versus SEM comparison. (A) The large ovoid structure represents a pseudo-inclusion lined by dead osteoblasts and bone lining cells. In the center are several dead neutrophils with apoptotic nuclei (green arrows). Note cocci (red arrow). Two osteocytes (yellow asterisks) have been invaded by *S. aureus* bacteria. (B) An enlargement of the lower left osteocyte in (A). Note the bacteria are electron dense using standard TEM imaging. (C) Same osteocyte imaged using a SEM from the ATUMtome sections on a wafer. Note the bacteria are comparable to (B) but are electron lucent due to the SEM set up in backscatter mode. ATUMtome, automated tape-collecting ultramicrotome; SEM, scanning electron microscope; TEM, transmission electron microscopy [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

- Transfer to 100% LR White resin for 60 min and then fresh 100% LR White resin for overnight. Before embedment incubate twice in fresh changes of resin for 30 min each.
- Polymerize tissue in the resin for 24 h in an oven at 55°C.

### 2.3.3 | Immunogold labeling for TEM

Note: Use LR White embedded tissue.

- Ultrathin sections and grids: Collect 80–90 nm ultrathin sections onto nickel 150 mesh formvar/carbon coated grids for the post-embedding immunogold labeling procedure.

Note: let grids dry overnight before starting the labeling procedure.

- Blocking: Use 1.0% serum diluted in 1.0% bovine serum albumin/PBS. Use serum to match the secondary antibody. Incubate for 30 min.

Note: The grids must not dry during the entire labeling procedure.

- Primary antibody: Incubate sections on droplets of primary antibody (1:20 for both anti-*S. aureus* (ab37644) and anti-*S. agalactiae* (GBS; ab53584; Abcam) diluted in 1.0% bovine serum albumin (BSA)/PBS overnight at 40°C. Note: The primary antibody dilution is concentrated 10 times for immunogold labeling procedures (determine the dilution after testing the antibody on paraffin sections at the light microscopy level).


Note: You must use an antibody compatible with immunohistochemistry/paraffin.

- Rinsing of grids: Using squeeze bottle of PBS, stream droplets from the nozzle for 1 min directly over the surface of the each grid (held by a reverse action tweezers), then place grids on droplets of PBS 5 × 2 min each.
- Secondary antibody: Incubate sections at room temperature for 1 h on droplets of 12 nm gold tagged secondary antibody (1:25 Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) diluted in 10% BSA/PBS. Rinse with squeeze bottle of PBS, then distilled water, then droplets of distilled water (4 × 1 min each) to thoroughly rinse.
- Rinse grids using distilled water and stain with aqueous uranyl acetate 0.3% lead citrate 5 min each.
- Examine under the TEM for digital photography.

Most EM research laboratories would agree that sectioning epoxy embedded tissue at 1 μm to find a “needle in a haystack” region of interest (ROI; with Toluidine blue staining) is extremely labor intensive. Thus, we present a new methodology to rapidly interrogate mouse tibiae using formalin fixed paraffin embedded tibiae. In general, 5 μm paraffin sections are cut and Brown & Brenn stained to identify Gram positive bacteria and presumed invasion into the OLCN (Figure 1). Once the ROI is identified, the targeted area is cut from the paraffin block, deparaffinized, postfixed and reprocessed into epoxy resin for thin sectioning and TEM imaging. Specifically, to deparaffinize the embedded bone the excised fragment was taken through three incubations of fresh xylene followed by a rehydration in an ethanol series from 100% to 50% in 30 min steps and eventually transferred to deionized water for postfixation in GA and osmium. This significantly expedites the interrogation of infected mouse bone while still providing excellent ultrastructural morphology.

### 2.4 | Serial SSEM

To our knowledge, all electron microscopy studies of infected bone to date have been restricted to interpretation of 2D ultrastructure. This approach was implemented for the initial investigations and subsequent discovery of *S. aureus* invasion and colonization of the OLCN.<sup>2,3</sup> However, upon discovering that *S. aureus* propagates deeply into bone via the OLCN, a 3D volumetric analysis of infected murine tibiae was initiated. To accomplish this, the ATUMtome system was selected (Figure 2). The resulting digital images are similar in resolution and contrast to traditional HC-TEM digital micrographs.



**FIGURE 6** Immunoelectron microscopy labeling. (A) UAMS-1 bacterial pellet trapped in agarose, embedded in LR White, ultrathin sectioned and labeled using an anti-*S. aureus* antibody (37644; Abcam) which specifically recognizes cell wall peptidoglycan, tagged with a 12 nm gold (small black dots around the bacteria) tagged secondary antibody  $\times 30,000$ . (B) negative control (no primary antibody, only secondary)  $\times 50,000$ . (C) *S. agalactiae* (GBS) bacteria do not label using the anti-*S. aureus* antibody  $\times 60,000$ . (D) *S. agalactiae* (GBS) bacterial pellet trapped in agarose, embedded in LR White, ultrathin sectioned displays positive labeling using a polyclonal anti-GBS antibody (53584; Abcam) and a 12 nm gold tagged secondary antibody. (E) negative control. (F) In vivo labeling of a 14-day *S. aureus* infected mouse tibia embedded in LR White and ultrathin sectioned using the same antibody used for *S. aureus* in vitro labeling  $\times 25,000$ . (G) Enlargement of the boxed area in (F). Note the black dots positively label peptidoglycan on the outer wall of the deformed bacteria. (H) Negative control  $\times 40,000$ .

## 2.5 | ATUMtome microtomy

After the Brown and Brenn stained ROI is matched to the paraffin blockface, it is excised and reembedded blockface down into epoxy resin and surveyed initially by light microscopy. A 45° Histo Diamond Knife with a 6 mm blade (DiATOME) was used at 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  levels to pinpoint the previously identified bacteria from the block face of the original paraffin interrogated bone tissue. Once the ROI is re-identified, the block is trimmed and subsequently ultrathin sectioned using a 35° Ultra Diamond Knife (DiATOME) at a thickness of 50–60 nm. Before the set-up of the ATUMtome for serial sectioning event, we collect 2–3 sections for mounting onto 2  $\times$  1 mm formvar carbon coated nickel slot grids (EMS Cat: #G102-Ni) for standard 2D HC-TEM imaging using a Hitachi 7650 TEM to assess the ultrastructure of the reembedded bone. This step is also necessary to target the ROI once serial sections on wafers are placed into the SEM. The ATUMtome is set-up to generate serial sections, and has

been previously described in detail.<sup>17</sup> Sections are generally cut at a speed of 1 mm/s through the cutting window (Figure S2S) which is matched by the tape collection reel of the ATUMtome and using these parameters approximately 14 sections are cut per minute. At this rate, up to 840 sections can be cut and collected in an hour, through approximately 50  $\mu\text{m}$  of tissue. Following serial sectioning, the ATUM collection apparatus is removed from the diamond knife boat and an additional 2–3 ultrathin sections are collected as before for standard HC-TEM image analysis.

## 2.6 | Wafer mounting, poststaining, and carbon coating

A total of 264 sections from the sample were collected onto Kapton® ¼" tape (Sheldahl). A total of 12 mm width double sided conductive carbon tape (EMS Cat: #77817-12) was applied to

100 mm diameter silicon wafers (EMS Cat: #71893-01). The serial sections were mounted onto the wafer by cutting the Kapton into lengths corresponding to the diameter of the wafer. To enhance the signal for electron microscopy, the sections were poststained with 2% uranyl acetate dissolved in deionized water for two minutes and rinsed with 18.2 MΩ deionized water before secondary rinsing with Photo-Flo 200 solution (Eastman Kodak Company). Sections were dried with an air gun to prevent the formation of water marks. To improve contrast, a secondary poststain was applied using 0.3% lead citrate for 2 min before rinsing with deionized water and Photo-Flo as described above. To prevent the sections from becoming electrically charged during electron microscopy imaging, grounding is required. To achieve this, the sections were coated in a thin film of carbon using a Ladd vacuum evaporator (Ladd Research). A total of 3 mm carbon rods (EMS Cat: #70210) were sharpened and placed between the two electrodes, and the wafer-mounted specimens were inserted beneath the rods into the vacuum dome and pumped down until a vacuum of  $-8 \times 10^{-5}$  torr was achieved. Current was applied across the carbon rods until the wafer was finely coated.

## 2.7 | Image acquisition

Once the specimens had been prepared for imaging, they were transferred to a ZEISS GeminiSEM 300 SEM fitted with an electron backscatter diffusion detector (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH). Utilizing the ZEISS Atlas 5 software (Carl Zeiss), the x,y locations of the wafers were mapped to stage coordinates. The ROI was determined after low magnification, low resolution overview images were acquired (Figure 3). Sections were imaged individually using the inbuilt auto-focus and auto-contrast functions. The image parameters used included 5 nm pixel size using a  $8594 \times 9057$  pixel field of view (FOV; approximately  $40 \times 45 \mu\text{m}$  area). The beam was set to use 8 kV with a  $60 \mu\text{m}$  aperture to give approximately 290 pA of current, 6.4 us dwell time, and 7.8 mm working distance.

## 2.8 | Alignment and segmentation of data

During automated tape-collection, the ATUMtome cannot control the orientation of specimens as they are collected from the water reservoir onto the Kapton tape, image stacks require alignment adjustment. Alignment was achieved utilizing ImageJ open source imaging software<sup>18</sup> and the alignment plugin Linear Stack Alignment with SIFT.<sup>19</sup> The aligned data set was manually segmented using the open source software VAST Lite.<sup>20</sup> Two types of segmentation were undertaken, first, a saturated segmentation in which all *S. aureus* bacteria were segmented within the OCLN system and secondly, infected and not infected canaliculi were segmented separately. Once segmentation had been completed, data were exported as .OBJ files utilizing VAST Tools (included with VAST Lite) that are typically recognized by 3D rendering software. Autodesk 3ds Max (Autodesk Inc.) was used to render 3D reconstructions of the segmented data.

## 3 | RESULTS

### 3.1 | TEM of reembedded paraffin tissue

Given the high resolution micrographs generated by EM, there is a legitimate concern for the loss of ultrastructural integrity with re-embedding of the tissue. For comparison, we present archived images from standard HC-TEM (Figure 4) for reference. Specifically in all four electron micrographs, from previous HC-TEM studies, the decalcified bone presents as a gray stippled matrix and host inflammatory cells located either in the bone or marrow cavity are identifiable as neutrophils, due to their well preserved multilobed nuclear profiles and cytoplasmic granules (Figure 4B,C). The *S. aureus* bacteria are distinctive as electron dense (dark gray)  $1 \mu\text{m}$  cocci (Figure 4A–D). The identification of osteocyte lacunae with (Figure 4D) and without bacteria (Figure 4A) are architecturally distinctive. Most remarkable is the preservation of canaliculi in the matrix of bone, some of which are occupied by propagating *S. aureus*.

In comparison, a thin section taken before the SSEM event was imaged by TEM (Figure 5A,B) and we were able to observe all ultrastructural components (as in Figure 4) of bone tissue, host neutrophils and *S. aureus* cocci near and within osteocytic lacunar spaces (Figure 5A). The textural matrix of bone of is consistent with the quality of HC-TEM tissue, as well as the nuclear features of neutrophils and bone marrow cells identifiable at the ultrastructural level. Note how the ultrastructural preservation of bacteria within osteocytic lacunar spaces maintains the same distinctive electron density making them comparable to the HC-TEM reference images. The large ovoid structure in Figure 5A, represents a pseudo-inclusion lined by dead osteoblasts and bone lining cells which have condensed nuclear and cytoplasmic features. Of note, the same osteocyte is present in both the TEM image and the SSEM image (a micron or so from one another), and are morphologically similar (Figure 5B,C). Note that in standard TEM images, bacteria appear electron dense as the electrons have been both absorbed and transmitted at varying degrees to produce the contrast seen in a 60 nm ultrathin section. In contrast, using an SEM in backscatter mode, the bacteria appear electron lucent as the electrons are reflected back after elastic interactions occur between the electron beam and the sections on the wafers.

Overall the bacteria and tissue architecture are very well preserved and identifiable, despite the treatment with xylene to remove paraffin and rehydration down to water. To ensure high quality preservation of ultrastructure of reembedded bone, it is necessary to perform a two-step post-fixation method; 24 h in 2.5% GA followed by 1 h incubation in 1.0% osmium tetroxide before processing into epoxy resin.

### 3.2 | IEM labeling

Patients presenting with diabetic foot ulcers typically have either mono- or polymicrobial infections. Most monomicrobial infections


involve *S. aureus*,<sup>21</sup> but *S. agalactiae*<sup>22</sup> (GBS) has also been recognized to be an important pathogen. To establish IEM labeling protocols to distinguish these different pathogens in infected bone, pure cultures of both bacterial strains were embedded into LR White resin for in vitro IEM labeling using anti-*S. aureus* and anti-GBS antibodies (Figure 6A–E). Furthermore, IEM labeling of bacteria occupying the OLCN was performed on archived LR White resin-embedded bone blocks of *S. aureus* 14-day infected mouse bone (Figure 6F–H). Positive IEM labeling was observed for both *S. aureus* (Figure 6A) and *S. agalactiae* (Figure 6D), as evidenced by the 12 nm gold particles coating of the cell wall, while no reactivity was observed in no primary antibody controls (Figures 6B and 6E). Importantly a lack of cross-reactivity was demonstrated by the inability of the anti-*S. aureus* antibody to label cultured GBS (Figure 6C). While the controlled labeling of pure culture was successful, the efficacy of the antibody requires testing with samples from in vivo infection. Therefore, we sought to evaluate the efficacy of the *S. aureus* antibody on archived LR White resin embedded bone blocks of *S. aureus* 14-day infected mouse bone. *In vivo* we observed *S. aureus* positive labeling in widened canaliculi (Figure 6F,G), while the secondary antibody only control had no labeling (Figure 6H) of the elongated *S. aureus* aligned in a canaliculus.

### 3.3 | Serial SSEM and quantitation of infected OLCN volume

The total volume of infected and noninfected canaliculi was calculated utilizing in-built VAST Lite computational capabilities using cubic pixels (voxels). The total volume of segmented canaliculi was 1,524,285,936 voxels of which 1,070,046,752 (70.2%) demonstrated infiltration by *S. aureus* in contrast to 454,239,184 (29.8%) that appeared not to be infected (Figure 7).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

Traditionally, high magnification TEM imaging requires specific fixation, processing, and embedding protocols for high quality preservation of tissue ultrastructure. Most diagnostic EM laboratories believe excising tissue from formalin fixed paraffin embedded soft tissue blocks often results in loss of fine structural details. However, since bone is a rigid connective tissue type, sparsely populated by cells, it is more resistant to loss of the fine structural qualities of the OLCN even after reembedding. A protocol that includes postfixation GA and poststaining with osmium tetroxide, can optimize the



**FIGURE 7** 3D surveillance of *S. aureus* invading the osteocyte-lacuno-canalicular network (OLCN). An archived 14-day *S. aureus* infected mouse tibia paraffin block was reembedded into epoxy resin for 3D volume reconstruction of bacteria within the OLCN. (A) An archived original HC-TEM image example of bacterial cross invasion from one osteocyte's canaliculus to another (red arrow). Note: Canaliculi which are void of bacteria are denoted by green arrows  $\times 6000$ . (B) The image processing pipeline for serial section imaging of an *S. aureus* infected mouse tibia. A total of 60 nm ultrathin sections were collected utilizing the ATUMtome and 264 images were digitally acquired, aligned and segmented. (C) A 3D rendering of the OLCN. Infected OLCN (red) represent 70% of the OLCN while the remaining noninfected canaliculi (green) appear to be resistant to infection (Figure S7-S1 and S2; Video of the overview of the OLCN [S1] and a walkthrough of the OLCN [S2]). ATUMtome, automated tape-collecting ultramicrotome; BMC, bone marrow compartment; HC-TEM, High Contrast-TEM [Color figure can be viewed at [wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)]

**TABLE 1** Comparison of serial section imaging modalities

System	Classification	Specifications	Advantages	Disadvantages	
ThermoFisher volumescoper	SBF	Knife width	2 mm	Widest knife for serial block face The knife travel	The limited block height (2–3 mm) Enbloc staining is required Cutting blind-block may be nonuniformly fixed or stained. Impossible to screen before cutting
		Knife travel	2–3 mm	Setup and running is all in one step	Monopolizing the microscope—once the cutting and imaging has started, it must continue until the project is complete
		Block height	2–3 mm	Serial section alignment is reasonably easy	Possible catastrophic failure at any point in the cutting/imaging phase Too large of an electron dose per pixel can change the mechanical properties of the block and make cutting difficult
Gatan 3view	SBF	Knife width	<2 mm	Add-on to any existing SEM Easy to set up and run	Knife width is limited to <2 mm Knife travel is about 1 mm (this means that the block face cannot be larger than 1 mm)
		Knife travel	~1 mm	Section thickness of 15 nm or greater	Maximum block height is too small Enbloc staining is required
		Block height	600 µm	Serial section alignment is reasonably easy	Cutting blind—block may be nonuniformly fixed or stained. Impossible to screen before cutting
					Monopolizing the microscope—once the cutting and imaging has started, it must continue until the project is complete Possible catastrophic failure at any point in the cutting/imaging phase Too large of an electron dose per pixel can change the mechanical properties of the block and make cutting difficult
ATUMtome system	SSEM			Can be used with either Leica or RMC Boeckeler ultramicrotomes	
				Independent of the SEM type	
		Knife width	4 mm	Virtually unlimited depth of cut (z-direction) Can cut longitudinally (parallel to the fiber axis) or transverse (diameter cross section)	Multistep process: (1) cutting and collecting, (2) wafer making, (3) post-staining, and (4) wafer mapping
		Knife travel	6 mm	Sections can be poststained for better signal to noise	2D stitching may be required
		Block height	6 mm	Sections are collected and mounted on silicon wafers Each wafer can be imaged independently and at the microscope's convenience Sections can be reimaged, in the case of focus or other problems or a change in the region of interest Can perform Enbloc gold or other immuno-labeling Catastrophic failure occurs early in the workflow (long before the imaging phase)	3D alignment (alignment from section to section) can be difficult Section cutting and collection may generate wrinkles

(Continues)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

System	Classification	Specifications	Advantages	Disadvantages
Serial TEM	SSEM	N/A	Fast data acquisition	Requirement of grid tape, expense, and difficulty Poststaining is limited 2D stitching and 3D alignment is extremely challenging
Transmission SEM	SSEM	N/A	Attaches to any SEM	Slow data acquisition Limited field of view (200 × 200 μm) Slotted grids required for section collection Requires additional detector

Abbreviations: SEM, scanning electron microscope; SSEM, section electron microscopy; TEM, transmission electron microscopy.

ultrastructural aspects of not only the bone tissue but also bacterial morphology within the OLCN; this greatly enhances the structural detail captured in this 3D volume imaging study.

To date, the production of 3D images in the musculoskeletal system has been achieved using CT and MRI imaging modalities. However, these are limited by resolution parameters and cannot capture ultrastructural detail. Other 3D technologies exist and are generally grouped into SBF or SSEM imaging. To use SBF imaging, an ultramicrotome is inserted into the SEM chamber and the block face of the sample is imaged. Subsequently, a 25–50 nm thin section is shaved from the block face, discarded and then the new block face of the specimen is imaged. This process ensures that the image acquisition is well aligned and reduces the postprocessing effort required in contrast to the ATUMtome. As described above, sections are collected onto the tape system that can allow a changed orientation whilst floating in the water reservoir as they are cut on the diamond knife. The process of alignment of the data stack is both time and computationally involved. There are several advantages of the ATUMtome system, but the most notable is that sections can be stored indefinitely and may be reimaged at any time, permitting continued analyses without the need to repeat experiments thus has clear advantages over any other methodology. A full comparison of the approaches for serial section imaging is presented in Table 1.

There are limitations to the SSEM data presented. SSEM is a labor-intensive process that is dependent on user-expertise, availability of instrumentation and staff, and computer processing personnel and resources and furthermore the data being collected and analyzed. The most predictable components are the tissue preparation and sectioning as outlined above. The time taken to section a volume of data is related to section thickness; the data we present here was sectioned at 60 nm, whereas other reports sectioned at 20 nm<sup>10,17</sup> and collected the same volume of tissue which would take orders of magnitude longer with a concurrent increase in the volume of data. Image acquisition is similarly difficult to predict without imaging a limited sample to determine optimal parameters. Our dataset was acquired utilizing a pixel size of 5 nm and a FOV of 8594 × 9057 pixels (~40 × 45 μm area). Some datasets do not require such high resolution<sup>13</sup> and individual sections can be imaged more quickly, however, other parameters also impact acquisition time such as the dwell time, the time taken for auto-focus and auto-contrast. To provide some guidance, and overview of the time taken for each step for the OLCN data collected is listed in Table 2.

Another important limitation of SSEM is that quantitation of the infected OLCN volume cannot be validated with traditional CFU assays, as the tissue destructive experimental methods for each are incompatible with the other. Thus, we propose the primary use of the volume outcome is to gain a general assessment of the extent of OLCN infection. Consistent with this, our results have successfully demonstrated that SSEM can be applied to the skeletal system, and provide a new solution to not only investigate the OLCN system but also bacterial propagation. The preliminary results demonstrate that 70% of canaliculi examined within this tissue volume were occupied by *S. aureus*. With the application of this methodology, future studies

**TABLE 2** An approximation for the time and labor intensity required to complete the 3D reconstruction of the *S. aureus* infected bone sample

	Processing step	Hands on involvement	Time taken
Tissue preparation	Fixation	Full	1 day
	Decalcification	Minimal	7 days
	Resin embedding	Full	4 days
Serial sectioning	Block face preparation	Full	1 h
	ATUMtome setup	Full	1–2 h
	Serial sectioning	Minimal	1 h
Wafer preparation (time taken per wafer)	Mounting sections	Full	30 min
	Post-staining	Full	15 min
	Carbon coating	Full	15 min
Data acquisition (time taken per wafer)	Defining ROI	Full	1–2 h
	Defining imaging parameters	Full	2–4 h
	Wafer mapping	Minimal	1 h
	Image acquisition	Minimal	2–3 days
Postprocessing (264 image stack)	Data-stack alignment	Minimal	1–2 days
	Manual segmentation	Full	5 days
	3D Rendering/Modelling	Moderate	5 days
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>28 days</b>

could reveal how osteocytes (and their canaliculi) may resist colonization through cell signaling, eventually succumbing to bacterial persistence, as evidenced by patient reports of recurrence decades later. Potentially, this could open up new directions for discovery of osteocyte protective mechanisms in future osteomyelitis research.<sup>23,24</sup> Finally, to make this a realistic target, automated segmentation methodologies utilizing machine learning must be developed and applied to the bone tissue datasets to increase the efficiency of 3D image analyses.

## 5 | CONCLUSION

Electron microscopy remains an invaluable tool for the interrogation of osteomyelitis. Through manipulation of established methodologies and incorporation of emerging technology, a comprehensive strategy for the investigation of *S. aureus* osteomyelitis is presented. Based on the results of this study, SSEM utilizing the ATUMtome system can successfully interrogate the OLCN and provide 3D volumetric analysis.

Future studies focused on polymicrobial bone infections, specifically combined *S. aureus* and *S. agalactiae* (GBS) infections, are required to determine why some osteocytic lacunae within the OLCN are resistant to invasion and colonization. These studies will also

include IEM labeling to answer questions pertaining to mono versus polymicrobial infections, and if other microorganisms are capable of invasion of and propagation within the OLCN.


## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by research grants from the National Institutes of Health (P30 AR069655 and P50 AR72000), and AO-Trauma Clinical Priority Program. The processing and sectioning, mounting and postprocessing before imaging was conducted in the Electron Microscopy Shared Resource Laboratory at the University of Rochester. The authors would like to thank Boeckeler, Instruments of Tucson, AZ for loaning the ATUMtome system to complete this project and also the Zeiss imaging facility at Pleasanton, CA, for completing the data acquisition remotely during the current pandemic.

## AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the study design, acquisition of data, and data analysis. Karen L. de Mesy Bentley, Chad A. Galloway, Gowrishankar Muthukrishnan, Stephan Zeiter, Scott R. Echternacht, Elysia A. Masters, Edward M. Schwarz, and Jonathan I. Leckenby were responsible for drafting and editing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final submitted manuscript.

## ORCID

Gowrishankar Muthukrishnan  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7521-6154>

Scott R. Echternacht  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5325-6366>

Stephan Zeiter  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8155-4202>

Edward M. Schwarz  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4854-9698>

Jonathan I. Leckenby  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6175-7563>

## REFERENCES

- Curry A, Appleton H, Dowsett B. Application of transmission electron microscopy to the clinical study of viral and bacterial infections: present and future. *Micron*. 2006;37:91-106.
- de Mesy Bentley KL, MacDonald A, Schwarz EM, Oh I. Chronic osteomyelitis with *Staphylococcus aureus* deformation in submicron canaliculi of osteocytes: a case report. *JBJS Case Connect*. 2018;8:e8.
- de Mesy Bentley KL, Trombetta R, Nishitani K, et al. Evidence of *Staphylococcus Aureus* deformation, proliferation, and migration in canaliculi of live cortical bone in murine models of osteomyelitis. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2017;32:985-990.
- Philip Kollmannsberger MK, Felix R, Wolfgang W, Richard W, Peter Fratzl. The small world of osteocytes: connectomics of the lacunocanalicular network in bone. *New J Phys*. 2017;19:19.
- Masters EA, Salminen AT, Begolo S, et al. An in vitro platform for elucidating the molecular genetics of *S. aureus* invasion of the osteocyte lacuno-canalicular network during chronic osteomyelitis. *Nanomedicine*. 2019;21:102039.
- Masters EA, Trombetta RP, de Mesy Bentley KL, et al. Evolving concepts in bone infection: redefining "biofilm", "acute vs. chronic osteomyelitis", "the immune proteome" and "local antibiotic therapy". *Bone Res*. 2019;7:20.
- Muthukrishnan G, Masters EA, Daiss JL, Schwarz EM. Mechanisms of immune evasion and bone tissue colonization that make

- Staphylococcus aureus* the primary pathogen in osteomyelitis. *Curr Osteoporos Rep.* 2019;17:395-404.
8. Kaynig V, Vazquez-Reina A, Knowles-Barley S, et al. Large-scale automatic reconstruction of neuronal processes from electron microscopy images. *Med Image Anal.* 2015;22:77-88.
  9. Joesch M, Mankus D, Yamagata M, et al. Reconstruction of genetically identified neurons imaged by serial-section electron microscopy. *eLife.* 2016;5:5.
  10. Kasthuri N, Hayworth KJ, Berger DR, et al. Saturated reconstruction of a volume of neocortex. *Cell.* 2015;162:648-661.
  11. McCarthy M. US to launch major brain research initiative. *BMJ.* 2013;346:f2156.
  12. Morgan JL, Lichtman JW. Why not connectomics? *Nature Methods.* 2013;10:494-500.
  13. Leckenby JI, Chacon MA, Grobbelaar AO, Lichtman JW. Imaging peripheral nerve regeneration: a new technique for 3D visualization of axonal behavior. *J Surg Res.* 2019;242:207-213.
  14. Libraty DH, Patkar C, Torres B. *Staphylococcus aureus* reactivation osteomyelitis after 75 years. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366:481-482.
  15. Nishitani K, Sutipornpalangkul W, de Mesy Bentley KL, et al. Quantifying the natural history of biofilm formation in vivo during the establishment of chronic implant-associated *Staphylococcus aureus* osteomyelitis in mice to identify critical pathogen and host factors. *J Orthop Res.* 2015;33:1311-1319.
  16. Li D, Gromov K, Søballe K, et al. Quantitative mouse model of implant-associated osteomyelitis and the kinetics of microbial growth, osteolysis, and humoral immunity. *J Orthop Res.* 2008;26:96-105.
  17. Baena V, Schalek RL, Lichtman JW, Terasaki M. Serial-section electron microscopy using automated tape-collecting ultramicrotome (ATUM). *Methods Cell Biol.* 2019;152:41-67.
  18. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods.* 2012;9:676-682.
  19. Lowe DG. Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. *Int J Comput Vis.* 2004;60:91-110.
  20. Berger DR, Seung HS, Lichtman JW. VAST (volume annotation and segmentation tool): efficient manual and semi-automatic labeling of large 3D Image Stacks. *Front Neural Circuits.* 2018; 12:88.
  21. Pulido L, Ghanem E, Joshi A, Purtill JJ, Parvizi J. Periprosthetic joint infection: the incidence, timing, and predisposing factors. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2008;466:1710-1715.
  22. Kaplan SL. Recent lessons for the management of bone and joint infections. *J Infect.* 2014;68(Suppl 1):S51-S56.
  23. Dallas SL, Prideaux M, Bonewald LF. The osteocyte: an endocrine cell ... and more. *Endocr Rev.* 2013;34:658-690.
  24. Yang D, Wijenayaka AR, Solomon LB, et al. Novel insights into *Staphylococcus aureus* deep bone infections: the involvement of osteocytes. *mBio.* 2018;9:9.

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

**How to cite this article:** de Mesy Bentley KL, Galloway CA, Muthukrishnan G, et al. Emerging electron microscopy and 3D methodologies to interrogate *Staphylococcus aureus* osteomyelitis in murine models. *J Orthop Res.* 2021;39: 376–388. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.24968>